Appl. No.: 10/089,196

Amdt. Dated 05 July 2005

Reply to Office Action of 05 April 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 20-38 are pending in the application.

Claims 20 and 38 are currently amended. The Examiner has offered

rejections against Claims 20-38. The rejections are respectfully traversed.

The Examiner has objected to Claim 20, indicating that the word "separately"

should be changed to "separate". The Applicant believes the phrase "separately

from" is proper, however, in the interests of expediting the examination of the

application, the Applicant has amended the term to "separate".

The Examiner has rejected Claim 38 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second

paragraph as being indefinite. Although the Applicant believes that with a reading of

intervening Claim 22, Claim 38 is very clear regarding the reference to opening and

closing of individual receivers, the Applicant has amended the claim to clarify such in

the interests of expediting examination.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 20-24 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as

anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,073,372 to List. The Applicant respectfully

traverses the rejection. The Examiner has presented List as disclosing "an

apparatus for handling articles between process stations . . . wherein said conveying

device is disposed between at least two process stations". The Applicant

respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's representation of the List patent. List

teaches instead an "apparatus for transporting containers to and from a working

station" (See Abstract; Figures; Col. 1, lines 35-40; Col. 3, lines 54-57; Col. 4, line

20; Claim 1.) List does not teach multiple processing stations as set forth in Claim

20 of the present application, and therefore all the remaining dependent claims 21-

5 of 7

Appl. No.: 10/089,196

Amdt. Dated 05 July 2005

Reply to Office Action of 05 April 2005

38. As a result, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the

rejection and place the claims in line for allowance.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 32-35 under 35 U.S.C. (a) as obvious over

List in view of Toshima. The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection. In view of

the arguments and amendments listed above, the combinations of the references

cited do not disclose the entire apparatus as claimed. Further, there is no teaching

or suggestion in either reference to combine the references. As a result, the

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection and place

the claims in line for allowance.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 25-32, 36 and 37 under 35 U.S.C. (a) as

obvious over List in view of Lynch. The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

In view of the arguments and amendments listed above, the combinations of the

references cited do not disclose the entire apparatus as claimed. Further, there is no

teaching or suggestion in either reference to combine the references. As a result,

the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection and

place the claims in line for allowance.

The Examiner has rejected Claim 38 under 35 U.S.C. (a) as obvious over List

in view of Bacchi et al. The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection. In view of

the arguments and amendments listed above, the combinations of the references

cited do not disclose the entire apparatus as claimed. Further, there is no teaching

or suggestion in either reference to combine the references. As a result, the

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection and place

the claims in line for allowance.

6 of 7

Appl. No.: 10/089,196

Amdt. Dated 05 July 2005

Reply to Office Action of 05 April 2005

The Applicant has attempted to be fully responsive to the office action and believes the claims should now be in line for allowance. However, if the Examiner feels that it would be helpful, the undersigned would very much welcome a telephone call from him in order to discuss any outstanding issues and to expedite placement of the application into condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert - Bed Robert W. Becker, Reg. 26,255

Attorney for Applicant(s)

**ROBERT W. BECKER & ASSOCIATES** 

707 Highway 66 East, Suite B

Telephone: 505 286 3511

Telefax: Tijeras, New Mexico 87059

505 286 3524

RWB:KCF:mac